	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/675,920	KNAPP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL KAHELIN	3762
All Participants:	Status of Application: allo	wable
(1) <u>MICHAEL KAHELIN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Catherine D. Spolar.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 January 2011	Time: <u>12:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 16, 25, and 30		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner requested permission to make the attached changes by examiner's amendment to avoid possible claim objections for typographical errors and place the claim scope commensurate with the arguments of 11/16/2010.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Michael Kahelin/		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)